#### CLIENT AUTHORIZATION LETTER

### INSERT DATE HERE

Dear Client:

We are currently responding to the NASA Ames Research Center Request for Proposal (RFP) **NNA15540517R** for the Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS) and Related Systems procurement.

The ASRS has two primary aspects: the maintenance and operation of a voluntary, independent, confidential incident reporting program, and research and development using incident reports to support improvements in the performance and safety of the current and future aviation and railroad systems. The contractor provides support with management and professional personnel who have extensive experience in aviation and railroad operations. They perform in roles to analyze voluntarily submitted confidential safety reports, which requires expert operational judgment and decision-making skills. The staff also performs research and development on various relevant safety topics. The contractor also provides support in the areas of development, maintenance, and security of the IT systems, database management, data analysis, technical writing, human factors research, documentation, publications, and website maintenance. The contractor is required to make presentations to technical and other governmental audiences.

NASA Ames Research Center is continuing to place increased emphasis on past performance as a

source selection factor. As such, a requirement of their solicitation is that past clients of ours be identified and participate in the evaluation process. You are hereby authorized to respond to this and other inquiries. We have identified of your organization as the point of contact based on his/her knowledge concerning our work. Please complete the enclosed Past Performance Questionnaire and forward it directly to: NASA Ames Research Center Acquisitions Division Attn: Marianne Shelley, JAZ: 241-1 P.O. Box 1 Moffett Field, CA 94035-0001 E-mail responses may be sent to the following address: Marianne.Shelley@nasa.gov. A response to this questionnaire is requested to the above address no later than **December 7, 2015.** Your cooperation is appreciated. Any questions may be directed to the undersigned. Sincerely,

**Enclosure** 

## **Past Performance Questionnaire**

Offeror Identification.				
Offeror:				
Contract Number:				
Agency/ Company:				
Contract Title:				
Contract Information. Please production in 1.A. above:  1. Type of Contract (check as ap		wing in	formation for the contractor and co	ntract nui
Firm Fixed Price	propriate).	П	Cost Plus Fixed fee	
Cost Plus Award Fee		$\Box$	Other (Describe):	
From:	ng options/ ex	xtensio	ns): To:	
3. Method of Competition:			,	
From:  3. Method of Competition:			To:	
From:  3. Method of Competition:  Competitive (provide description)			To:	
From:  3. Method of Competition:  Competitive (provide description  Non-competitive?			To:	
From:  3. Method of Competition:  Competitive (provide description  Non-competitive?  Follow-on?  New requirement?  4. Contract Value (including all o  5. Was the contractor the prime of	ptions): \$	nd Ope	To:	e of overa
From:  3. Method of Competition:  Competitive (provide description  Non-competitive?  Follow-on?  New requirement?  4. Contract Value (including all o  contract work performed and	ptions): \$	nd Ope	n, Small Business Set-Aside, etc.)  ontractor? Indicate the percentage	e of overa

## II. RATINGS AND QUESTIONS:

- A. RATINGS. Please review this questionnaire based on the following guidance:
  - 1. Please return the completed questionnaire within five working days. A telephone interview may be conducted to obtain your responses or for clarification purposes.
  - 2. You are urged to read the questionnaire and supplement your own knowledge of the offeror's performance with the judgment of others (e.g., coordinated effort between the Contracting Officer and the Technical Point of Contact) in your program. In addition to providing the information for the contract or order identified, we solicit your comments on other similar contract or order that your activity has/ had with this offeror.
  - 3. For each item requesting a rating, respond with the rating that best describes the contractor's performance for the referenced contract or order. If an item is not applicable to your contract order, or if you do not know how the contractor performed/ is performing on that item, please respond N/A. The rating scale is defined as:

Excellent (E)	Consistent record of exceptional past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work very similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract, indicating exemplary performance in a timely, efficient, and economical manner; very minor (if any) problems with no adverse effect on overall performance.
Very Good (VG)	Consistent record of successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work very similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract, demonstrating very effective performance that would be fully responsive to contract requirements with contract requirements accomplished in a timely, efficient, and economical manner for the most part with only minor problems with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Good (G)	Successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract, and it demonstrates effective performance, fully responsive to contract requirements; reportable problems, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Neutral (N)	Neutral score. Assigned to offerors without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or not applicable.
Satisfactory (S)	Successful past performance by the offeror and any proposed major subcontractors on work similar to the work requirements of the proposed contract and may be limited in terms of the size, scope and complexity when compared to this contract; demonstrates meets or slightly exceeds minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable problems with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.
Poor (P)	The Offeror's relevant past performance demonstrates performance that does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; problems in one or more areas, which adversely affect overall performance

For any ratings of Excellent, Satisfactory, Poor, or N/A below, please provide a detailed explanation.

# B. <u>RELEVANT TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE</u>

1.				ntractor resolut		all techr	nical per	formance and the ability to identify technical problems
	E			□G	□N	□s	☐ P	□ N/A
2.	Ra	te the	e Co	ntracto	s comp	liance	with tech	nnical and schedule requirements. Discuss any
	Co	ntrac	tor-c	aused	schedul	e slips.		·
<u></u>	E	□ \	/G	☐ G	□ N	□S	□ P	□ N/A
3.					's flexib	ility and	d effectiv	veness in dealing with unexpected changes to technication
	rec	uirer \		s. G	□N	□s	□ P	□ N/A
_								
4.	exp sub ma rail dev dev ana gov wh rele	perier omitte aking I indu velop velop alysis ainten vernn ich a evant	nce i ed co skills strie men men s, teo nance nent re pr	n aviation fident s. The set on variation in the control of the co	on and cial safet staff has within prious relevantes.	railroad ry repore to stay rivate in evant s , and so numan for is re frus the t to you S and I	operation operat	ent and professional personnel who have extensive ons. They perform in roles to analyze voluntarily h requires expert operational judgment and decisiont on all aspects of developments within the aviation and government. The staff also performs research an pics. The contractor provides support in the areas of of the IT systems, database management, data research, documentation, publications, and website o make presentations to technical and other actor provides support in many technical areas, some or zation's requirements. Indicate the technical areas Systems requirement that the contractor supported for
		Res	searc	ch and	develop		-	eir operation and maintenance (aviation, rail, etc) related to safety or safety reporting systems
	W	] Cor	nmu		ns and p		ations to tion's co	technical/ other governmental audiences regarding the
		_			researc			
	Ĺ	_			_			administration
	L	_			chnology	y Secur	ity	
	Ļ			alysis				
		ח ר	cume	entation	, publica	ations, v	website	maintenance
ditio	nal t	techn	ical	areas:				

# For any ratings of Excellent, Satisfactory, Poor or N/A below, please provide a detailed explanation.

# C. <u>CONTRACT MANAGEMENT</u>

1.						, developing, and retaining high-caliber key personnel riate skills for contract performance.
□ E	□ VG	□G	□N	□s	□ P	□ N/A
2.	Rate the C	ontracto	or's reco	ord for re	etaining	key personnel in the key positions as originally
E	□ VG	□G	□ N	□s	☐ P	□ N/A
	Rate the Co	ontracto	s appro	ach to	and exp	perience in filling vacant key personnel position(s), if
☐ E	·· —	□G	□ N	s	☐ P	□ N/A
	Rate the Co					es of authority during the contract, reason for the ormance.
E	E □ VG	G	□N	□S	□ P	□ N/A
						ement, coordination of roles and responsibilities to meet subcontracting goals.
E	E □ VG	☐ G	□ N	S	☐ P	□ N/A
		n of ope	rations o			phase-in period to ensure efficient and seamless turn-over, including retention rates for incumbent
□ E		□G	□N	□s	□ P	□ N/A
						volvement in and oversight of local contract operations eeting requirements, including technical, schedule, and
□ E	□ VG	G	□ N	□s	□ P	□ N/A

10	Rate the Co ssues relate					ng safety training for emp	loyees, and any other saf
□ E	_	-	•	S	P	□ N/A	
		ntracto	r's mana	gemen	t of Gov	ernment-provided proper	ty and equipment, if
E	applicable.	□G	□N	□s	☐ P	□ N/A	
10. F	Rate the Co	ntracto	r's ability	to pro	tect sens	sitive and/or third party pr	oprietary information.
E	□VG	□G	□ N	□S	☐ P	□ N/A	
11. F		ontracto	r's ability	/ to ider □S	ntify and	resolve any organization	al conflicts of interest iss
D.	OTHER	R INFO	RMATI	<u>ON</u>			
1	. Provide for your			positive	or nega	ative comments regarding	the contractor's perform
C	Comments:						
2	2. Given th	ne choic	e, would	d you a	ward to	this contractor again?	☐ Yes ☐ No
RESI	PONDENT	INFOR	MATIO	N:			
		), / (a i a ia	ature/ el	ectronic	signatu	ure)	
S	Submitted E	sy (signa					Date
N E	Name (Print Email:	t)					
N E T	Name (Print Email: Felephone:	t)					
N E T C	Name (Print Email: Telephone: Contracting	Agency	or Com	npany			
N E T C	Name (Print Email: Telephone: Contracting Position/Titl	Agency	or Com	npany			

Please return form directly to:

NASA Ames Research Center,

Attn: Marianne Shelley, JAZ: 241-1, P.O. Box 1,

Moffett Field, CA 94035-0001.

E-mail responses may be sent to the following address: Marianne.Shelley@nasa.gov .